

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/466,279 | 12/17/1999 | HAJIME INOUE | SONYJP-3.0-0 | 9975 |
| 530 7590 05/21/2007 LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | BROWN, RUEBEN M | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2623 . | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DAȚE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/21/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 09/466,279 | INOUE ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Reuben M. Brown | 2623 | |

| | Neubell IVI. Blown | 2023 | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>Reuben M. Brown</u> . | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Natalie Morelli</u> . | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 09 May 2007. | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2 | ²)☐ applicant's representative | e] | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: 1. | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Staats. | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g |)□ was not reached. h)□ N | I /A. | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | nature of what was agreed to | if an agreement | was | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) | | | | | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | |
| | | • | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Pen | hen D | an | | | |
| | REUBEN M PATENT E) | L BROWN | | | | |
| | | · | | | | |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. | Examiner's sign | nature, if required | | | | |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In a follow-up discussion from an interview held with Ms. Morelli & Mr. Cockings, the issue of the previous 112 rejection was discussed. It was agreed that Fig. 8A of the drawings (step S5) can be amended, consistent with the language found on page 17, lines 12-20, of the specification, namely, "unique ID numbers of the nodes". Such an amendment would overcome the 112 rejection. In a separate issue, examiner will consider applicant's arguments with respect to the interpretation that in Staats, the 'record' includes both the node unique ID and the reference ID.